IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

Plaintiff,	WILLIAM SCHNEIDER)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. PAUL F. SEGER, KAREN M. SEGER,) DOUGLAS W. MATSCHULLAT, and) HELEN SUE MATSCHULLAT, Plaintiffs,) 4:99CV3056 V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Defendant.) TIMOTHY M. GRAY and MARGARET S. GRAY,) Plaintiffs,) 4:99CV3154 V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Defendant.) LAZY HORSESHOE RANCH,) Plaintiff,) 4:99CV3153 V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) ORDER	Plaintiff,	8:99CV0315
Defendant. PAUL F. SEGER, KAREN M. SEGER, DOUGLAS W. MATSCHULLAT, and HELEN SUE MATSCHULLAT, Plaintiffs, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. TIMOTHY M. GRAY and MARGARET S. GRAY, Plaintiffs, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiffs, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiffs, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. Defendant. LAZY HORSESHOE RANCH, Plaintiff, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ORDER	v.)
PAUL F. SEGER, KAREN M. SEGER, DOUGLAS W. MATSCHULLAT, and HELEN SUE MATSCHULLAT, Plaintiffs, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. TIMOTHY M. GRAY and MARGARET S. GRAY, Plaintiffs, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. LAZY HORSESHOE RANCH, Plaintiff, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ORDER	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
DOUGLAS W. MATSCHULLAT, and HELEN SUE MATSCHULLAT,	Defendant.)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. Defendant. TIMOTHY M. GRAY and MARGARET S. GRAY, Plaintiffs, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. Defendant. LAZY HORSESHOE RANCH, Plaintiff, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ORDER ORDER	DOUGLAS W. MATSCHULLAT, and)))
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. TIMOTHY M. GRAY and MARGARET S. GRAY, Plaintiffs, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. Defendant. LAZY HORSESHOE RANCH, Plaintiff, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ORDER	Plaintiffs,) 4:99CV3056
Defendant. TIMOTHY M. GRAY and MARGARET S. GRAY, Plaintiffs, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. LAZY HORSESHOE RANCH, Plaintiff, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ORDER	v.)
TIMOTHY M. GRAY and MARGARET S. GRAY, Plaintiffs, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. LAZY HORSESHOE RANCH, Plaintiff, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ORDER ORDER	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
S. GRAY, Plaintiffs, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. LAZY HORSESHOE RANCH, Plaintiff, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ORDER ORDER	Defendant.)
V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. LAZY HORSESHOE RANCH, Plaintiff, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ORDER)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. LAZY HORSESHOE RANCH, Plaintiff, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ORDER	Plaintiffs,) 4:99CV3154
Defendant. LAZY HORSESHOE RANCH, Plaintiff, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ORDER	v.)
LAZY HORSESHOE RANCH, Plaintiff, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ORDER	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,) 4:99CV3153 v.) UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) ORDER	Defendant.)
v.) UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) ORDER)	LAZY HORSESHOE RANCH,)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) ORDER)	Plaintiff,) 4:99CV3153
	v.)
Defendant.)	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) ORDER
	Defendant.) _)

Upon review of the Memorandum and Order (Filing No. 65 in 8:99CV315) entered by Magistrate Judge Piester on March 24, 2000,

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1) Cases Nos. 8:99CV315, 4:99CV3056, 4:99CV3153, and 4:99CV3154 are hereby consolidated for discovery, trial, and all other purposes. The cases will be tried to a jury in Omaha, Nebraska.
- 2) Case No. 8:99CV315 is hereby designated as the "Lead Case." Cases Nos. 4:99CV3056, 4:99CV3153, and 4:99CV3154 are hereby designated as the "Member Cases."
- 3) The Court's CM/ECF System now has the capacity for "spreading" text among the consolidated cases. If properly docketed, the documents filed in the Lead Case will automatically be filed in all Member Cases. To this end, the parties are instructed to file in the Lead Case, No. 8:99CV315, all further documents except those described in paragraph 4 and to select the option "yes" in response to the System's question whether to spread the text.
- 4) The parties **may not** use the spread text feature to file complaints, amended complaints, and answers; to pay filing fees electronically using pay.gov; or to file items related to service of process.

5) If a party believes that an item in addition to those described in paragraph 4 should not be filed in all the consolidated cases, the party must move for permission to file the item in one or more individual member cases. The motion must be filed in all the consolidated cases using the spread text feature.

DATED this 2nd day of April, 2008.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Lyle E. Strom

LYLE E. STROM, Senior Judge United States District Court